

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ERIC ALLEN BOZEMAN,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 SACRAMENTO SUPERIOR COURT,

15 Respondent.  
16

No. 2:24-cv-00163-TLN-DB

**ORDER**

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas  
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.


20 On May 30, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which  
21 were served on Petitioner, and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the  
22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 5.) Petitioner  
23 filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 6.)

24 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602  
25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*.  
26 *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed  
27 the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by  
28 the magistrate judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations, filed on May 30, 2024 (ECF No. 5), are ADOPTED IN FULL;
2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice;
3. The Court DECLINES to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253; and
4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

Date: June 20, 2024



Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge